Nawasena:
Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Studies
E-ISSN: 3163-740X
Volume 1, Issue 2, October 2026, pp. 55-72
https://doi.org/10.67245/nawasena.v1i2.41

Reconfiguring Islamic Studies in the Digital Era: Scholarly Authority, Epistemic Validity, Methodological Adaptation, and Digital Ethics

Muhammad Arif Ilham Tuni Al-Qadrie[1*], Asep Rahmatullah[2]
[1,2] Universitas Islam Internasional Darullughah Wadda'wah, Pasuruan, Indonesia
[1]arifqodry@gmail.com, [2]aseprahmatullah@uiidalwa.ac.id
*Correspondence

Article Information:
Received: 14 July 2026
Revised: 8 September 2026
Accepted: 14 September 2026
Published: 19 September 2026

Keywords:
Islamic Studies, Digital Transformation, Scholarly Authority, Artificial Intelligence, Digital Ethics.

Abstract
Digital transformation has reshaped Islamic Studies not only by expanding access to religious knowledge but also by altering the ways knowledge is produced, validated, interpreted, and disseminated. This study aims to analyze the relationship between Islamic scholarly tradition and technological transformation in the digital era by focusing on four interrelated dimensions: scholarly authority, source validity and verification, methodological adaptation, and digital ethics and literacy. The study employs a qualitative library research design with a critical-conceptual approach. Relevant scholarly literature, primarily published between 2021 and 2026, was selected purposively and analyzed through thematic classification, comparative reading, and conceptual synthesis. The findings show that digital platforms and algorithmic mediation have reconfigured patterns of religious authority by introducing new forms of visibility and legitimacy that may compete with conventional scholarly credentials. At the same time, digital databases, artificial intelligence, and digital humanities offer significant methodological opportunities while creating new challenges concerning source authenticity, contextual accuracy, algorithmic bias, and epistemic accountability. The study argues that Islamic scholarly tradition and digital technology should not be positioned as opposing domains. Instead, responsible Digital Islamic Studies requires competence-based scholarly authority, layered source verification, an appropriate division of epistemic functions between technological tools and human scholars, and critical digital literacy grounded in ethical responsibility. From an integrative-interconnective perspective, technological innovation becomes academically meaningful when it strengthens rather than replaces the methodological and epistemological foundations of Islamic scholarship.

How to Cite this Article: Al-Qadrie, Muhammad Arif Ilham Tuni, and Asep Rahmatullah. "Reconfiguring Islamic Studies in the Digital Era: Scholarly Authority, Epistemic Validity, Methodological Adaptation, and Digital Ethics." *Nawasena: Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Studies* 1, no. 2 (2026): 55–72. https://doi.org/10.67245/nawasena.v1i2.41.

INTRODUCTION

Islamic Studies is an academic field that has developed through a long intellectual tradition involving distinctive sources, methods, authorities, and processes of knowledge transmission. Since the classical period, the study of the Qur'an, Hadith, jurisprudence (*fiqh*), theology (*aqidah*), Sufism, history, and various other Islamic disciplines has been conducted through the interaction

© 2026 The Author(s). Published by PT. Afkaruna Journal Publisher, Serang, Indonesia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0).

between texts, scholars, teachers, students, and educational institutions. This tradition has not only functioned as a mechanism for transmitting knowledge but has also established procedures for validation and scholarly continuity. Authority within the Islamic scholarly tradition, therefore, is not merely determined by the ability to convey information, but is closely related to mastery of sources, methodological rigor, scholarly competence, and the processes of knowledge transmission. In the modern context, Islamic Studies continues to face the challenge of maintaining its epistemological foundations while responding to social, scientific, and technological transformations.[1]

The development of digital technology has brought increasingly fundamental changes to this ecosystem.[2] The digitization of classical books and manuscripts, electronic journals, academic repositories, databases, social media, online learning platforms, digital humanities, and artificial intelligence has expanded the ways in which Islamic knowledge is accessed, produced, analyzed, and disseminated. Whereas access to scholarly resources previously depended heavily on physical collections and institutional settings, digital technology now enables students, lecturers, researchers, and the wider public to access vast amounts of information without strict geographical limitations. This development has gradually shifted Islamic Studies from using technology merely as a medium of storage and distribution toward its integration into the processes of knowledge production and analysis. Digitalization, therefore, can no longer be understood simply as a transition from printed books to electronic documents or from physical classrooms to online platforms.[3]

On the one hand, this transformation offers significant opportunities for the development of Islamic Studies. Technology enables faster literature retrieval, preservation of Islamic sources, more flexible learning environments, and large-scale text and data analysis that would have been difficult to conduct manually. The use of digital humanities, for instance, has opened new possibilities in the study of Islamic texts and history, while digital tools in Hadith *takhrij* can accelerate the process of tracing transmission chains and source authenticity.[4] In a broader context, the development of artificial intelligence has also created new possibilities in semantic search, information classification, text processing, and various analytical approaches that may expand the

[1] Khoirul Umam Addzaky et al., "Developing Wasathiyah Epistemology: A Literature-Based Study of Moderate Islamic Knowledge in The Post-Truth Era," *HEUTAGOGIA: Journal of Islamic Education* 4, no. 2 (2024): 199–217, https://doi.org/10.14421/hjie.2024.42-05; Mohamed Fouz Mohamed Zacky and Md Moniruzzaman, "'Islamic Epistemology' in a Modern Context: Anatomy of an Evolving Debate," *Social Epistemology* 38, no. 4 (2024): 511–25, https://doi.org/10.1080/02691728.2023.2227945.

[2] Shaker A. Zahra et al., "How Digital Technology Promotes Entrepreneurship in Ecosystems," *Technovation* 119 (January 2023): 102457, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2022.102457; Annabelle Gawer, "Digital Platforms and Ecosystems: Remarks on the Dominant Organizational Forms of the Digital Age," *Innovation* 24, no. 1 (2022): 110–24, https://doi.org/10.1080/14479338.2021.1965888.

[3] Bendik Bygstad et al., "From Dual Digitalization to Digital Learning Space: Exploring the Digital Transformation of Higher Education," *Computers & Education* 182 (June 2022): 104463, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104463.

[4] Naurah Adelia et al., "Digital Takhrij Hadith as Islamic Digital Humanities: Reconstructing Epistemic Authenticity and Transmission in the Digital Age," *Digital Muslim Review* 3, no. 1 (2025): 83–96, https://doi.org/10.32678/dmr.v3i1.68.

methodological scope of Islamic Studies.[5] Thus, technology does not merely provide technical convenience but has the potential to transform research and learning practices in Islamic Studies.

On the other hand, the expansion of digital access and knowledge production raises critical issues concerning scholarly validity and authority. The digital space allows religious information to be produced and disseminated by anyone, blurring the boundaries between academic knowledge, fatwas, preaching, individual interpretation, opinion, and unverified information. Studies by Whyte (2022)[6] show that cyberspace has influenced the formation and negotiation of Muslim religious authority, while research by Ali et al. (2025)[7] demonstrates that digitalization shifts knowledge production and validation from institutional-hierarchical frameworks toward more participatory spaces shaped by algorithmic mechanisms. In such conditions, visibility, popularity, follower counts, and levels of digital engagement may compete with academic competence as sources of epistemic legitimacy. This phenomenon further confirms that digital transformation is not merely a matter of communication media, but is also closely related to shifts in the structure of scholarly authority.

These changes become even more complex with the development of artificial intelligence. AI can retrieve, organize, summarize, and even generate information in a very short time; however, such computational capacity does not automatically guarantee the epistemological validity of the information produced. In Qur'anic studies, for example, Safrizal et al. (2026)[8] show that the integration of AI may transform sources of knowledge, interpretive methodologies, validation mechanisms, and the position of the knowledge-producing subject. Similar concerns arise in the use of AI for Islamic knowledge production, particularly regarding source accuracy, algorithmic bias, traceability of references, interpretive capacity, and the boundary between technological assistance and scholarly authority.[9] Therefore, the use of AI in Islamic Studies requires careful consideration so that technological efficiency does not replace source criticism, methodological rigor, contextual judgment, and academic responsibility.

Recent literature shows that the relationship between Islam and digital technology has been examined through several tendencies. Some studies frame digitalization within the context of

[5] Muhammad Yusuf, "Reimagining Islamic Civilization in the Age of AI: Digital Humanities, Ethical Challenges, and Knowledge Production in the Muslim World," *Islamic Civilization and History* 2, no. 2 (2025): 145–69, https://doi.org/10.59784/n3vs2393.

[6] Shaheen Amid Whyte, "Islamic Religious Authority in Cyberspace: A Qualitative Study of Muslim Religious Actors in Australia," *Religions* 13, no. 1 (2022), https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13010069.

[7] Muhdi Ali et al., "Transforming Religious Authority: Islamic Epistemology in the Digital Age," *RUSYDIAH: Jurnal Pemikiran Islam* 6, no. 1 (2025): 19–37, https://doi.org/10.35961/rsd.v6i1.2079.

[8] Muhammad Safrizal et al., "The Transformation of Quranic Studies in the Era of Artificial Intelligence: An Epistemological Shift from Classical Scientific Tradition to the Digital Ecosystem," *Al-Qarawiyyin: Jurnal Ilmu Ushuluddin* 2, no. 1 (2026): 39–58, https://doi.org/10.64691/d45aj033.

[9] Eka Sutisna et al., "Artificial Intelligence and Ethical Ijtihād in the Production of Islamic Knowledge," *Jurnal Lentera Insani*, December 6, 2025, 111–25, https://doi.org/10.65586/jli.v1i2.26; Safa Alrumayh, "AI and Qur'anic Interpretation: Exploring the Ethical and Epistemological Boundaries of Artificial Intelligence in Understanding the Qur'an," *Al Furqan: Jurnal Ilmu Al Quran Dan Tafsir* 8, no. 2 (2025): 223–39, https://doi.org/10.58518/alfurqan.v8i2.4243.

opportunities and challenges for the development of Islamic Studies.[10] Other works focus more specifically on the transformation of religious authority in digital spaces, highlighting a shift from institution- and tradition-based legitimacy toward forms of authority mediated by platforms, algorithms, and user engagement patterns.[11] For instance, Alhadi et al. (2026)[12] demonstrate a shift from *sanad*-based authority toward legitimacy increasingly influenced by digital mechanisms, while Syarifuddin (2026)[13] discusses similar transformations in the context of reconstructing the epistemology of Islam Nusantara. These findings indicate that technological development has produced new configurations in the relationship between knowledge, authority, and Muslim society.

Another strand of research situates digitalization within broader epistemological concerns. Suci and Dewi (2026)[14] link the digital knowledge revolution with Islamic epistemology and emphasize the need for integration between technology, Islamic sources of knowledge, and educational values. Syafaq et al. (2026)[15] also develop the concept of *Digital Islamic Studies* through ontological, epistemological, and axiological dimensions. Meanwhile, studies on the digitalization of the Qur'an and Hadith highlight that expanded access to religious texts must still confront issues of authenticity, verification, algorithmic bias, and interpretive validity (Susanti et al., 2025).[16] These studies demonstrate a shift from viewing technology merely as a tool toward understanding how it actively shapes the structure and mechanisms of Islamic knowledge production.

However, these studies tend to address digitalization, authority shifts, artificial intelligence, Islamic education, or epistemological transformation in a fragmented manner. The dominant framing of "opportunities and challenges" also does not fully explain how Islamic scholarly tradition can productively interact with technology when digital transformation simultaneously

[10] Atabik Luthfi, "Dynamics Of Islamic Studies In The Digital Era Between Challenges And Opportunities," *Al-Risalah* 16, no. 1 (2025): 109–18, https://doi.org/10.34005/alrisalah.v16i1.4221.

[11] Muhammad Riyyan Firdaus and Mohamad Dindin Hamam Sidik, "Constructing Religious Legitimacy in the Digital Public Sphere: A Study of Islamic Discourse on Social Media," *Khazanah Theologia* 6, no. 2 (2024): 85–110, https://doi.org/10.15575/kt.v6i2.33173; Achmad Sulfikar and Daisy Indira Yasmine, "Platformized Religious Authority: Rethinking Legitimacy in the Age of Social Media Influencers," *Epistemé: Jurnal Pengembangan Ilmu Keislaman* 20, no. 02 (2025): 141–71, https://doi.org/10.21274/epis.2025.20.02.141-171; Soleh Hasan Wahid and Syahid Abdulloh, "Digital Islamic Authority: A Revolutionary Shift or Mere Hype? A Systematic Review," *SN Social Sciences* 6, no. 2 (2026): 62, https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-026-01328-5.

[12] Muhammad Nikmal Anas Alhadi et al., "Ulama and Religious Legitimacy in Indonesia: The Shift from the Sanad Tradition to Digital Transformation in the Dissemination of Islamic Knowledge," *Aldina Journal of Islamic Studies* 1, no. 1 (2026): 59–81.

[13] Ahmad Ihsan Syarifuddin, "From Sanad to Algorithms: The Transformation of Islamic Authority and the Reconstruction of Nusantara Islamic Epistemology in the Digital Age," *AL GHAZALI: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pemikiran Islam* 6, no. 2 (2026): 467–88, https://doi.org/10.69900/ag.v6i2.577.

[14] Cita Suci and Eva Dewi, "The Digital Era and The Knowledge Revolution: Perspectives From Islamic Epistemology and Islamic Religious Education," *Edusoshum : Journal of Islamic Education and Social Humanities* 6, no. 3 (2026): 1669–78, https://doi.org/10.52366/edusoshum.v6i3.481.

[15] Hammis Syafaq et al., "Digital Islamic Studies in Indonesia: Ontological, Epistemological and Axiological Perspectives," *Afkar: Jurnal Akidah Dan Pemikiran Islam* 28, no. 1 (2026): 157–204, https://doi.org/10.22452/afkar.vol28no1.5.

[16] Riri Susanti et al., "Digitalizing the Quran and Hadith: Epistemological Reconstruction and Challenges of Religious Authority in the Digital Age," *El-Rusyd* 10, no. 2 (2025): 349–59, https://doi.org/10.58485/elrusyd.v10i2.485.

affects scholarly authority, source validity, research methodology, and ethical dimensions of technology use. These four dimensions are deeply interconnected: easier access to sources demands stronger verification skills; methodological changes reshape knowledge production; AI usage raises issues of validity and academic responsibility; and digital communication patterns reshape authority formation. Therefore, the central issue of Islamic Studies in the digital era cannot be reduced to whether technology brings opportunities or threats, but rather how such transformation can be situated within a scholarly framework that preserves epistemological integrity.[17]

In this context, the integrative-interconnective paradigm proposed by M. Amin Abdullah provides a relevant framework for understanding the relationship between Islamic scholarly tradition and technological development. This paradigm rejects rigid separation between Islamic studies and other scientific disciplines and encourages open and critical intellectual dialogue.[18] In the digital context, this principle allows technology to be positioned neither as an opponent of tradition nor as a replacement for scholarly authority, but as part of a knowledge ecosystem whose use must be guided by methodology, source verification, academic responsibility, and ethical considerations. This position is crucial because the capacity of technology to accelerate information production does not automatically equate to its ability to determine epistemic validity or authority.

Based on this gap, this article frames the dynamics of Islamic Studies in the digital era as an issue of integration between scholarly tradition and technological transformation through four interrelated dimensions: scholarly authority, source validity and verification, methodological adaptation, and digital ethics and literacy. This framework distinguishes the present study from approaches that merely map the opportunities and challenges of digitalization. The article argues that the sustainability of Islamic Studies in the digital ecosystem is not determined by either rejecting or uncritically accepting technology, but by the ability to construct a balanced relationship between technological innovation and the principles that safeguard the validity of knowledge production.

Accordingly, this study aims to analyze the dynamics of the relationship between Islamic scholarly tradition and technological development in the digital era by examining transformations in knowledge production and access, shifts in authority and validation mechanisms, methodological developments, and the need for digital ethics and literacy. Through this analysis, the study is expected to offer a more integrative conceptual framework for understanding the position of technology in Islamic Studies—not merely as a tool that presents both opportunities and risks, but as part of a transforming scholarly ecosystem that must be managed critically, methodologically, and responsibly.

[17] Asep Rahmatullah and Akhmad Fauzi Hamzah, "Model Bimbingan Konseling Dengan Pendekatan Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) Di Pesantren," *Muthmainnah: Jurnal Bimbingan Konseling Islam Dan Psikosufistik* 1, no. 1 (2025): 1–10, https://doi.org/10.38073/muthmainnah.v1i1.3498.

[18] Muhammad Feri Fernadi, "Discourse of Contemporary Islamic Education: Dichotomy, Islamization, and Integration of Science," *Scaffolding: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam Dan Multikulturalisme* 6, no. 3 (2025): 479–90, https://doi.org/10.37680/scaffolding.v7i1.1988; Diah Ayu Rahmani et al., "Reconstructing Islamic Epistemology: A Philosophical Analysis of Amin Abdullah's Integrative–Interconnected Paradigm," *Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Islam Al-Thariqah* 11, no. 1 (2026): 169–82, https://doi.org/10.25299/althariqah.2026.vol11(1).27798.

METHOD

This study employed a qualitative library research design with a critical-conceptual approach to examine the relationship between Islamic scholarly tradition and technological transformation in the digital era. Library research was chosen because the study does not aim to measure empirical impacts of digital technology on specific communities or institutions, but rather to analyze and synthesize scholarly discourse on changes in knowledge production, religious authority, source validation, research methodology, and digital ethics within Islamic Studies. Accordingly, the analysis focuses on conceptual arguments and theoretical contributions found in relevant academic literature.

The literature corpus consists primarily of peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2021 and 2026, complemented by foundational works necessary for constructing the conceptual framework of Islamic Studies and the integrative-interconnective paradigm. Literature was identified through keyword-based searches using terms such as Islamic Studies, digital transformation, religious authority, Islamic epistemology, artificial intelligence, digital humanities, source verification, and digital ethics, combined through Boolean operators to refine relevance. Sources were selected purposively based on their relevance to four analytical dimensions: transformations in scholarly authority, issues of source validity and verification, methodological adaptation through digital tools and artificial intelligence, and digital literacy and ethical responsibility. Studies focusing solely on technical aspects without engaging with epistemological, methodological, or ethical implications were excluded, while foundational works by scholars such as M. Amin Abdullah and Azyumardi Azra were retained to ensure conceptual continuity with Islamic intellectual tradition.

The selected literature was analyzed using thematic and critical-conceptual analysis. The process involved three stages: classification of literature based on key issues and arguments, comparison of recurring themes to identify convergences and tensions, and synthesis into four interrelated analytical dimensions, namely scholarly authority, source validity and verification, methodological adaptation, and digital ethics and literacy. These dimensions were not treated separately but examined in their interconnections to understand how digital transformation reshapes the production, validation, dissemination, and authority of Islamic knowledge. The interpretation of findings was further guided by the integrative-interconnective perspective of M. Amin Abdullah, used as a conceptual lens to assess how Islamic scholarly traditions can engage with technological change while maintaining epistemological rigor and methodological responsibility.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reconfiguration of Scholarly Authority in the Digital Ecosystem

One of the most significant transformations identified in the literature concerns the changing structure of scholarly and religious authority. In classical Islamic intellectual traditions, authority was closely associated with scholarly competence, mastery of religious sources, methodological discipline, teacher–student relationships, institutional recognition, and, in certain disciplines, continuity of transmission represented by *sanad*. These mechanisms did not simply

establish individual prestige but functioned as forms of scholarly accountability through which knowledge could be traced, evaluated, and legitimized.

Digital media alter this configuration by introducing new mechanisms through which religious voices become visible and influential. Whyte (2022)[19] demonstrates that cyberspace creates new spaces in which Muslim religious actors negotiate authority beyond conventional institutional boundaries. More recent studies further indicate that social media platforms do not merely distribute existing authority but participate in determining which religious actors, discourses, and interpretations gain public visibility. Ali et al. (2025),[20] for instance, find that digitalization shifts the production and validation of religious knowledge from an institutional-hierarchical framework toward a more participatory and algorithmically mediated environment in which popularity metrics may compete with scholarly accuracy.

This transformation becomes more evident in the emergence of what Sulfikar and Yasmine (2025)[21] describe as *platformized religious authority*. Their analysis suggests that contemporary religious legitimacy increasingly involves the interaction of knowledge-based authority, charismatic or performative authority, and platform-based visibility. The algorithm, in this configuration, does not itself possess religious authority, but it functions as a gatekeeping infrastructure that affects the circulation and recognition of religious discourse. Consequently, scholarly competence is no longer the only factor determining public influence. Communicative style, emotional resonance, visual presentation, audience engagement, and algorithmic recommendation may significantly affect whose religious interpretations are encountered and trusted.

Systematic evidence reinforces this development. Wahid and Abdulloh (2026),[22] through a review of 73 studies across different geographical contexts, identify a dynamic interaction between texts, affect, and algorithms in the construction of contemporary Islamic authority. Their findings indicate the emergence of hybrid religious actors, including cyber-muftis, celebrity imams, and other digitally mediated figures whose legitimacy develops through the interaction between traditional religious credentials and new forms of digital visibility. The digital transformation of authority should therefore not be reduced to the simple disappearance of the ulama or conventional religious institutions. Rather, it represents a restructuring of the mechanisms through which legitimacy is produced and recognized.

The literature on Indonesia provides an important illustration of this hybridity. Alhadi et al. (2026)[23] identify a movement from authority predominantly grounded in *sanad* and conventional knowledge transmission toward a configuration in which epistemic, affective, and algorithmic legitimacy operate simultaneously. Similarly, Syarifuddin (2026)[24] argues that the transition from *sanad* to algorithmically mediated authority is better understood as a hybridization than as a total

[19] Whyte, "Islamic Religious Authority in Cyberspace."
[20] Ali et al., "Transforming Religious Authority."
[21] Sulfikar and Yasmine, "Platformized Religious Authority."
[22] Wahid and Abdulloh, "Digital Islamic Authority."
[23] Alhadi et al., "Ulama and Religious Legitimacy in Indonesia."
[24] Syarifuddin, "From Sanad to Algorithms."

replacement of classical authority. In this configuration, normative-transmissive legitimacy continues to exist alongside performative-distributive legitimacy generated through digital platforms. Akhmadi (2024)[25] reaches a comparable conclusion in Islamic education, describing contemporary epistemic authority as layered across relational, institutional, and algorithmic modalities.

These findings suggest that the central problem is not that digital technology automatically eliminates traditional authority, but that it changes the environment in which authority must operate. The distinction is important. Islamic scholarly authority historically derives its legitimacy from processes of learning, methodological competence, ethical formation, and accountability, whereas digital visibility is primarily determined by mechanisms of circulation and engagement. The two operate according to different logics. Treating popularity as equivalent to scholarly legitimacy risks collapsing the distinction between the ability to attract attention and the ability to produce authoritative knowledge.

At the same time, rejecting digital forms of communication would ignore their potential to expand scholarly reach. Firdaus and Sidik (2024)[26] show that religious actors can maintain theological credibility while adapting their language and communication strategies to fragmented digital audiences. Thus, the appropriate response is not to restore a purely pre-digital hierarchy of authority but to develop a model capable of distinguishing scholarly legitimacy from digital visibility while allowing both to interact productively. In this sense, digital Islamic authority should be understood as a negotiated form of authority whose legitimacy requires continued connection to scholarly competence even when its dissemination is mediated by platforms and algorithms.

Source Validity and Verification: From Accessibility to Epistemic Accountability

The second dimension concerns the validity and verification of sources. Digitalization has significantly expanded access to Qur'anic texts, Hadith collections, classical books, manuscripts, journal articles, fatwas, lectures, and other religious materials. This accessibility is one of the clearest contributions of digital technology to Islamic Studies. The original distinction between access and validity, however, becomes increasingly important because the availability of information does not automatically establish its reliability.

Susanti et al. (2025)[27] demonstrate this tension in the digitalization of the Qur'an and Hadith. Digital platforms support preservation, dissemination, and scholarly engagement with sacred texts, but they simultaneously introduce challenges concerning textual verification, interpretive accuracy, algorithmic bias, and the weakening of conventional mechanisms of authentication. The issue is therefore not whether sacred texts can legitimately exist in digital formats, but how authenticity and scholarly accountability are maintained once those texts are embedded within technological infrastructures that may reorganize, summarize, recommend, or contextualize information automatically.

[25] Akhmadi Akhmadi, "Epistemic Authority In The Age Of Algorithms: Reconfiguring Knowledge Legitimacy In Islamic Education," *Benchmarking* 8, no. 2 (2024): 319–34, https://doi.org/10.30821/benchmarking.v8i2.28764.
[26] Firdaus and Sidik, "Constructing Religious Legitimacy in the Digital Public Sphere."
[27] Susanti et al., "Digitalizing the Quran and Hadith."

A productive example is provided by digital Hadith studies. Adelia et al. (2025)[28] examine digital *takhrij* as a form of Islamic Digital Humanities and argue that technological tools can extend rather than replace classical mechanisms of authentication. Their proposed approach connects digital infrastructures such as metadata provenance, semantic modelling, and AI-assisted verification with the classical logic of *isnād*, *matn*, and *amānah al-naql*. Their findings are significant because they demonstrate that technological innovation does not necessarily weaken epistemological authenticity. When digital systems are designed around established scholarly principles, technology can improve access and analytical efficiency while preserving mechanisms of verification.

Artificial intelligence creates a more complex verification problem because it does not merely retrieve existing sources but can synthesize and generate new textual outputs. Safrizal et al. (2026)[29] identify a multidimensional shift in AI-assisted Qur'anic studies: sources of knowledge may move toward aggregative algorithmic databases, interpretive processes may become computationally accelerated, validation mechanisms may increasingly depend on technological procedures, and new forms of knowledge-producing subjects may emerge. This indicates that AI affects not only the quantity of accessible information but also the route through which users arrive at particular interpretations.

Bagasharov et al. (2026)[30] further emphasize that the validity of AI-assisted interpretation depends on the construction of its data corpus, the assumptions embedded in its algorithms, and its capacity to account for historical, social, and discursive contexts. Alrumayh (2025)[31] similarly finds that AI can perform linguistic processing, structural analysis, and semantic comparison effectively but lacks the moral intentionality, epistemic consciousness, and interpretive accountability associated with human scholarly interpretation. These findings place an important limit on technological authority: computational proficiency should not be equated with epistemological competence.

Accordingly, verification in digital Islamic Studies must operate at more than one level. The authenticity of the original source remains important, but researchers must also evaluate the reliability of its digital representation, the provenance of data, the accuracy of algorithmic processing, and the relationship between generated outputs and identifiable scholarly sources. This becomes especially important when AI systems produce fluent answers that may appear authoritative even when their references are incomplete, decontextualized, or inaccurate.

The transformation therefore requires a shift from source accessibility to epistemic accountability. Digital competence in Islamic Studies cannot be measured merely by the ability to locate information rapidly. It must include the ability to trace where information originates, evaluate its scholarly status, compare it with authoritative sources, understand the limitations of

[28] Adelia et al., "Digital Takhrij Hadith as Islamic Digital Humanities."
[29] Safrizal et al., "The Transformation of Quranic Studies in the Era of Artificial Intelligence."
[30] Akhmetkazy Bagasharov et al., "Epistemology of Interpretation in the Era of Artificial Intelligence: Authority, Validity, and the Limits of AI's Role in Quranic Interpretation," *Al-Qarawiyyin: Jurnal Ilmu Ushuluddin* 2, no. 1 (2026): 1–19, https://doi.org/10.64691/21y5h822.
[31] Alrumayh, "AI and Qur'anic Interpretation."

technological mediation, and determine whether an interpretation has been generated through a method appropriate to the discipline concerned. Classical principles of verification thus remain relevant, but their application must be expanded to include the technological architecture through which contemporary knowledge is accessed and produced.

Methodological Adaptation: Technology as an Epistemic Instrument

The third dimension concerns methodological transformation. The literature shows that digital technology increasingly functions not only as a repository or communication medium but also as an analytical instrument within Islamic Studies. Digital databases, text-search applications, semantic analysis, computational processing, digital humanities, and AI-assisted tools expand the scale and speed at which Islamic sources can be explored. This creates genuine methodological opportunities but also raises the question of whether greater computational capacity necessarily produces better scholarly understanding.

Yusuf (2025)[32] illustrates the broader possibilities of AI and digital humanities for Islamic scholarship, including computational text analysis and new approaches to large bodies of Islamic textual materials. Adelia et al. (2025),[33] as noted above, demonstrate how digital tools can support Hadith verification when technological procedures are connected to established epistemological principles. These developments indicate that methodological innovation can significantly enhance scholarly work by reducing the mechanical burden of searching, indexing, classifying, comparing, and organizing large amounts of material.

The potential is particularly visible in text-based Islamic disciplines. Semantic search may enable researchers to identify conceptual relationships across large corpora; digital databases can facilitate comparative analysis across editions and sources; and AI-assisted classification can support the organization of materials that would require considerable time if processed manually. In this respect, digital methodology extends the researcher's analytical reach and can open research questions that were previously difficult to address because of the scale of available sources.

Nevertheless, the literature consistently warns against reducing scholarly methodology to computational efficiency. Safrizal et al. (2026)[34] distinguish between rapid computational analysis and the depth associated with discipline-based interpretation in Qur'anic studies. Bagasharov et al. (2026)[35] likewise position AI as an epistemic mediator capable of assisting linguistic, intertextual, and comparative analysis while remaining dependent on human interpretive authority. These findings indicate that technology can transform scholarly procedures without becoming a substitute for the methodological judgment through which research questions, contexts, arguments, and interpretations are evaluated.

This distinction becomes particularly important because Islamic Studies consists of disciplines with different methodological traditions. A tool that efficiently identifies lexical patterns in Qur'anic text, for example, does not by itself determine the interpretive significance of those patterns. Similarly, an AI system may locate Hadith reports rapidly but cannot replace the

[32] Yusuf, "Reimagining Islamic Civilization in the Age of AI."
[33] Adelia et al., "Digital Takhrij Hadith as Islamic Digital Humanities."
[34] Safrizal et al., "The Transformation of Quranic Studies in the Era of Artificial Intelligence."
[35] Bagasharov et al., "Epistemology of Interpretation in the Era of Artificial Intelligence."

disciplinary criteria through which chains of transmission, narrators, textual variations, contextual relationships, and legal or theological implications are critically assessed. Technology therefore operates most effectively when incorporated into, rather than detached from, disciplinary methodology.

Syafaq et al. (2026)[36] describe this need as part of the epistemological renewal of *Digital Islamic Studies*. Their analysis rejects the idea that digital transformation makes Islamic Studies obsolete and instead argues for methodological renewal that integrates Islamic scholarly traditions with digital technologies without abandoning revelation and normative foundations. This position resonates with the integrative-interconnective paradigm of M. Amin Abdullah: interaction with other disciplines and technological developments is necessary, but such interaction should generate dialogue rather than methodological dissolution.

The findings of the present analysis therefore indicate that methodological adaptation requires a division of epistemic functions. Digital systems are particularly valuable for retrieval, organization, pattern recognition, comparison, and computational assistance; scholars remain responsible for contextualization, methodological selection, interpretation, evaluation, and scholarly judgment. This division should not be understood as a permanent technical boundary, since technological capabilities will continue to develop, but as an epistemological principle: the expansion of what technology can process does not automatically expand what it can legitimately interpret or validate.

From this perspective, methodological innovation in Islamic Studies should neither romanticize traditional procedures nor assume that technological novelty is intrinsically superior. The more productive approach is to identify where digital tools genuinely extend scholarly capacity and where disciplinary reasoning remains indispensable. Technology becomes methodologically valuable when it strengthens the rigor, traceability, scale, and efficiency of research without obscuring the conceptual and interpretive responsibilities of the researcher.

Digital Ethics and Literacy as Conditions for Responsible Integration

The fourth dimension concerns ethics and digital literacy. The preceding analysis demonstrates that the issues of authority, validity, and methodology ultimately converge on the question of how technology should be used responsibly. Digital ethics is therefore not an additional consideration introduced after technological adoption; it is a fundamental condition for determining whether digital transformation strengthens or weakens Islamic scholarship.

The ethical challenges identified in the literature are multidimensional. Ajizah et al. (2025)[37] highlight algorithmic bias, data manipulation, misuse of technology, plagiarism, and the production of fabricated academic work as significant concerns surrounding AI in Islamic Studies and academic environments. Mainuddin (2025),[38] drawing on students' experiences in Islamic

[36] Syafaq et al., "Digital Islamic Studies in Indonesia."

[37] Ratna Utami Nur Ajizah et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Studies and Academic Ethics: Perspectives on Development and Implementation Based on Islamic Values," *Tarbawi Ngabar: Jurnal of Education* 6, no. 1 (2025): 147–68, https://doi.org/10.55380/tarbawi.v6i1.985.

[38] Mainuddin et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Studies Courses: Opportunities and Limitations in Islamic Higher Education from Student Perspectives," *Al-Hayat: Journal of Islamic Education* 9, no. 3 (2025): 612–27, https://doi.org/10.35723/ajie.v9i3.234.

Studies courses, identifies benefits such as faster academic work, broader access to references, and support for conceptual understanding, but also reports limitations involving contextual inaccuracies, unreliable referencing, dependency, and reduced critical engagement. These findings reveal an important paradox: the same technology that can increase academic efficiency may weaken scholarly development when students become dependent on generated answers rather than engaging directly with sources and analytical processes.

Ethical concerns are even more significant when AI participates in the production of religious knowledge. Sutisna et al. (2025)[39] argue that AI has developed from a technical device into an epistemic infrastructure that influences how Islamic knowledge is produced, distributed, and interpreted. Their emphasis on ethical *ijtihād* underscores that technological systems cannot carry moral responsibility in the same sense as human scholarly agents. Decisions concerning how AI-generated information is interpreted, validated, and applied therefore remain linked to human accountability.

This distinction is supported by Alrumayh (2025),[40] who argues that computational processing lacks the moral intentionality and ontological subjectivity central to interpretive responsibility in Qur'anic studies. In educational contexts, Tobing et al. (2025)[41] similarly emphasize that the moral and spiritual dimensions of teaching cannot be replaced by AI, while Hermawan et al. (2026)[42] warn that technological integration without appropriate Qur'anic and ethical foundations may contribute to pedagogical dehumanization. These studies indicate that responsible digitalization requires maintaining a meaningful distinction between technological assistance and human moral agency.

Digital literacy must consequently extend beyond operational proficiency. Knowing how to use an AI system, search engine, digital library, or social media platform represents only the most basic level of competence. Critical digital literacy in Islamic Studies should include the capacity to evaluate sources, understand algorithmic mediation, recognize bias and decontextualization, identify the limits of generated content, maintain academic integrity, and use technology in ways consistent with scholarly responsibility.

Khumaini (2026)[43] develops a related model of critical religious literacy in which students learn to distinguish scholarly legitimacy from popularity, formal credentials from actual competence, and digital visibility from epistemic accountability. Haqqi et al. (2026)[44] similarly

[39] Sutisna et al., "Artificial Intelligence and Ethical Ijtihād in the Production of Islamic Knowledge."
[40] Alrumayh, "AI and Qur'anic Interpretation."
[41] M. Alfarizi Tobing et al., "Reconstruction of Islamic Religious Education in the Artificial Intelligence Era: A Critical Review of Teacher Roles, Digital Ethics, and Epistemic Authority," *Proceedings of International Conference on Islamic Community Studies*, October 27, 2025, 7186–92.
[42] Nanang Hermawan et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Religious Education Learning Qur'anic Perspective: Epistemological Reconstruction, Digital Ethics, and Humanization of Learning," *ISLAMIKA* 8, no. 3 (2026): 837–71, https://doi.org/10.36088/islamika.v8i3.6350.
[43] Fahmi Khumaini, "Reconfiguring Religious Authority in Islamic Education: Toward a Pedagogical Model of Critical Religious Literacy," *El-Tarbawi* 19, no. 1 (2026): 47–80, https://doi.org/10.20885/tarbawi.vol19.iss1.art2.
[44] Izzul Haqqi et al., "Digital Fatwa, Religious Authority, And Muslim Religious Literacy In The Algorithmic Age: A Contemporary Islamic Studies Perspective," *Al-Manshubat: Journal of Integrated Islamic Scholarship* 1, no. 2 (2026): 153–60.

argue that contemporary Muslim religious literacy must combine theological competence, critical media literacy, ethical digital engagement, and verification of religious information. These perspectives are particularly relevant because misinformation cannot be addressed only by producing more authoritative online content; users themselves require the competence to assess the knowledge they encounter.

Islamic ethical frameworks also offer conceptual resources for this responsibility. Suci and Dewi (2026)[45] emphasize the integration of revelation, reason, sensory knowledge, and ethical-spiritual orientation in responding to the digital knowledge revolution. Mustaqim and Ahida (2025)[46] likewise highlight the need to integrate technological innovation with moral responsibility and social benefit. Meanwhile, Baiquni et al. (2026)[47] propose continuous human oversight, authoritative knowledge validation, and accountable technological governance as central principles for AI ethics from a Qur'anic epistemological perspective.

Taken together, these findings indicate that digital literacy is the practical mechanism through which epistemological and ethical principles are translated into scholarly practice. Without such literacy, expanded access may amplify misinformation, methodological convenience may generate intellectual dependency, and algorithmic visibility may be mistaken for authority. With appropriate literacy, however, technology can support broader access, more efficient research, critical engagement, and responsible dissemination of Islamic knowledge.

Integrating Tradition and Technology: Toward a Responsible Digital Islamic Studies Framework

The four dimensions identified above should not be treated as separate problems. They constitute an interconnected structure. Changes in digital authority affect which sources become visible; visibility influences which information users consider credible; source credibility affects research and interpretation; methodological use of AI raises questions of validation; and all these processes require ethical judgment and digital literacy. The transformation of Islamic Studies is therefore systemic rather than merely technological.

The integrative-interconnective perspective provides an important conceptual orientation for interpreting this relationship. Its relevance lies not in simply encouraging Islamic Studies to adopt modern technology, but in enabling dialogue between different forms of knowledge while maintaining methodological awareness of their respective functions and limitations. Applied to the digital context, integration does not mean absorbing technological logic uncritically into Islamic scholarship. Nor does it mean insulating Islamic scholarship from digital developments. Rather, it requires the construction of relationships in which technological innovation is critically evaluated through epistemological, methodological, and ethical criteria.

[45] Suci and Dewi, "The Digital Era and The Knowledge Revolution."
[46] Mustaqim Mustaqim and Ridha Ahida, "Digital Revolution and the Shifting Paradigm of Knowledge: Islamic Scholars' Perspectives on Technology Ethics," *El-Rusyd* 10, no. 2 (2025): 247–55, https://doi.org/10.58485/elrusyd.v10i2.505.
[47] Ahmad Baiquni et al., "Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Philosophy: A Qur'anic Epistemological Framework for AI Ethics," *Madinah: Jurnal Studi Islam* 13, no. 1 (2026): 135–47, https://doi.org/10.58518/madinah.v13i1.4693.

Based on the synthesis of the literature, this study proposes that a responsible model of Digital Islamic Studies should operate through four mutually reinforcing principles. First, scholarly authority should remain competence-based while becoming digitally communicative, enabling scholars to participate effectively in digital spaces without allowing popularity to substitute for expertise. Second, expanded digital access should be accompanied by layered verification, including verification of original sources, digital provenance, algorithmic mediation, and generated outputs. Third, technological tools should be methodologically integrated according to their epistemic functions, particularly in retrieval, organization, comparison, and computational analysis, while interpretive and evaluative responsibility remains with qualified human scholars. Fourth, digital ethics and critical literacy should serve as the normative infrastructure of technological use, ensuring accountability, academic integrity, source transparency, and awareness of technological limitations.

This framework shifts the discussion beyond the conventional opposition between tradition and technology. The literature does not indicate that Islamic scholarly tradition is necessarily displaced by digitalization; rather, it shows that its mechanisms of authority, verification, methodology, and ethical responsibility are being renegotiated within a new technological environment. Likewise, technology is neither inherently disruptive nor automatically progressive. Its scholarly value depends on how it is integrated into existing and evolving practices of knowledge production.

Accordingly, the central challenge for Islamic Studies in the digital era is not whether technology should be accepted or rejected, but which epistemic functions may appropriately be delegated to technology, which scholarly responsibilities must remain accountable to human judgment, and which mechanisms are required to connect the two. Such a position preserves the strengths of Islamic scholarly tradition while acknowledging that digital technology has become an unavoidable component of contemporary knowledge ecosystems. The future relevance of Islamic Studies therefore depends on its capacity to combine intellectual continuity with methodological adaptation, technological competence with critical verification, and innovation with ethical responsibility.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that digital transformation in Islamic Studies extends beyond changes in access, media, and information dissemination, as it also reshapes the epistemological and methodological structures through which Islamic knowledge is produced, validated, and communicated. The analysis identifies four interrelated dimensions of this transformation: scholarly authority, source validity and verification, methodological adaptation, and digital ethics and literacy. Digital platforms and algorithmic mechanisms have reconfigured patterns of religious authority, while expanded access to Islamic sources and the increasing use of artificial intelligence have created new possibilities for research alongside greater demands for source verification, methodological rigor, and epistemic accountability.

The findings further indicate that Islamic scholarly tradition and digital technology should not be positioned as opposing domains. Digital tools, artificial intelligence, and digital humanities

can expand the capacity of Islamic Studies in literature retrieval, data organization, textual analysis, comparison, and knowledge dissemination, but technological efficiency cannot substitute for scholarly competence, contextual interpretation, methodological judgment, and ethical responsibility. A responsible model of Digital Islamic Studies therefore requires competence-based scholarly authority, layered source verification, appropriate division of epistemic functions between technology and human scholars, and critical digital literacy. From an integrative-interconnective perspective, technological innovation becomes academically meaningful when it strengthens rather than displaces the principles that sustain the validity and continuity of Islamic scholarship.

This study is limited by its conceptual and library-based design and therefore does not examine how these transformations operate empirically within particular Islamic educational institutions, scholarly communities, or digital platforms. Future research may test and develop the proposed framework through empirical studies of scholars, students, Islamic higher education institutions, digital religious communities, or the use of generative AI in specific disciplines such as Qur'anic studies, Hadith studies, and Islamic jurisprudence. Such research is necessary to understand how the balance between scholarly tradition and technological innovation is negotiated in actual academic practice and to develop more operational models for responsible Islamic scholarship in an increasingly digital knowledge ecosystem.

DECLARATION OF AI AND AI ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

In the preparation of this manuscript, the author(s) utilized Gemini and ChatGPT to assist with language editing, grammar correction, improving readability, and refining the organization of the manuscript. These AI-assisted technologies were used solely as supporting tools and were not responsible for determining the study's arguments, interpretations, or conclusions. All AI-assisted outputs were critically reviewed, verified, and revised by the author(s), who assume full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and final content of the publication.

REFERENCES

Addzaky, Khoirul Umam, Uswatun Hasanah, and Zaki Faddad Syarif Zain. "Developing Wasathiyah Epistemology: A Literature-Based Study of Moderate Islamic Knowledge in The Post-Truth Era." *HEUTAGOGIA: Journal of Islamic Education* 4, no. 2 (2024): 199–217. https://doi.org/10.14421/hjie.2024.42-05.

Adelia, Naurah, Abd Wahid, Muhammad Alif, and Fahmi Andaluzi. "Digital Takhrij Hadith as Islamic Digital Humanities: Reconstructing Epistemic Authenticity and Transmission in the Digital Age." *Digital Muslim Review* 3, no. 1 (2025): 83–96. https://doi.org/10.32678/dmr.v3i1.68.

Ajizah, Ratna Utami Nur, Zaki Su'aidi, and Miftahul Huda. "Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Studies and Academic Ethics: Perspectives on Development and Implementation Based on Islamic Values." *Tarbawi Ngabar: Jurnal of Education* 6, no. 1 (2025): 147–68. https://doi.org/10.55380/tarbawi.v6i1.985.

Akhmadi, Akhmadi. "Epistemic Authority In The Age Of Algorithms: Reconfiguring Knowledge Legitimacy In Islamic Education." *Benchmarking* 8, no. 2 (2024): 319–34. https://doi.org/10.30821/benchmarking.v8i2.28764.

Alhadi, Muhammad Nikmal Anas, Andi Purwanto, Musonnif Alfi, and David Owusu Ansah. "Ulama and Religious Legitimacy in Indonesia: The Shift from the Sanad Tradition to Digital Transformation in the Dissemination of Islamic Knowledge." *Aldina Journal of Islamic Studies* 1, no. 1 (2026): 59–81.

Ali, Muhdi, Awan Farih, Sholahuddin Al Ayubi, and Andi Rosa. "Transforming Religious Authority: Islamic Epistemology in the Digital Age." *RUSYDIAH: Jurnal Pemikiran Islam* 6, no. 1 (2025): 19–37. https://doi.org/10.35961/rsd.v6i1.2079.

Alrumayh, Safa. "AI and Qur'anic Interpretation: Exploring the Ethical and Epistemological Boundaries of Artificial Intelligence in Understanding the Qur'an." *Al Furqan: Jurnal Ilmu Al Quran Dan Tafsir* 8, no. 2 (2025): 223–39. https://doi.org/10.58518/alfurqan.v8i2.4243.

Bagasharov, Akhmetkazy, Mamyrova Zhantaeva, Kuzembayeva Khalizhan, Yemelianova Kurmanbayev, and Nurgazy Khaydarov. "Epistemology of Interpretation in the Era of Artificial Intelligence: Authority, Validity, and the Limits of AI's Role in Quranic Interpretation." *Al-Qarawiyyin: Jurnal Ilmu Ushuluddin* 2, no. 1 (2026): 1–19. https://doi.org/10.64691/21y5h822.

Baiquni, Ahmad, Ahmad Raihan Al Biruni, and Juhardin. "Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Philosophy: A Qur'anic Epistemological Framework for AI Ethics." *Madinah: Jurnal Studi Islam* 13, no. 1 (2026): 135–47. https://doi.org/10.58518/madinah.v13i1.4693.

Bygstad, Bendik, Egil Øvrelid, Sten Ludvigsen, and Morten Dæhlen. "From Dual Digitalization to Digital Learning Space: Exploring the Digital Transformation of Higher Education." *Computers & Education* 182 (June 2022): 104463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.104463.

Fernadi, Muhammad Feri. "Discourse of Contemporary Islamic Education: Dichotomy, Islamization, and Integration of Science." *Scaffolding: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam Dan Multikulturalisme* 6, no. 3 (2025): 479–90. https://doi.org/10.37680/scaffolding.v7i1.1988.

Firdaus, Muhammad Riyyan, and Mohamad Dindin Hamam Sidik. "Constructing Religious Legitimacy in the Digital Public Sphere: A Study of Islamic Discourse on Social Media." *Khazanah Theologia* 6, no. 2 (2024): 85–110. https://doi.org/10.15575/kt.v6i2.33173.

Fouz Mohamed Zacky, Mohamed, and Md Moniruzzaman. "'Islamic Epistemology' in a Modern Context: Anatomy of an Evolving Debate." *Social Epistemology* 38, no. 4 (2024): 511–25. https://doi.org/10.1080/02691728.2023.2227945.

Gawer, Annabelle. "Digital Platforms and Ecosystems: Remarks on the Dominant Organizational Forms of the Digital Age." *Innovation* 24, no. 1 (2022): 110–24. https://doi.org/10.1080/14479338.2021.1965888.

Haqqi, Izzul, Eva Fitriyatul Khomsiyah, Imam Bukhori, Abd Aziz, Muhammad Ichsan, and Achmad Junaedi. "Digital Fatwa, Religious Authority, And Muslim Religious Literacy In The Algorithmic Age: A Contemporary Islamic Studies Perspective." *Al-Manshubat: Journal of Integrated Islamic Scholarship* 1, no. 2 (2026): 153–60.

Hermawan, Nanang, Dadang Hemawan, and Yusup Yusup. "Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Religious Education Learning Qur'anic Perspective: Epistemological Reconstruction, Digital Ethics, and Humanization of Learning." *ISLAMIKA* 8, no. 3 (2026): 837–71. https://doi.org/10.36088/islamika.v8i3.6350.

Khumaini, Fahmi. "Reconfiguring Religious Authority in Islamic Education: Toward a Pedagogical Model of Critical Religious Literacy." *El-Tarbawi* 19, no. 1 (2026): 47–80. https://doi.org/10.20885/tarbawi.vol19.iss1.art2.

Luthfi, Atabik. "Dynamics Of Islamic Studies In The Digital Era Between Challenges And Opportunities." *Al-Risalah* 16, no. 1 (2025): 109–18. https://doi.org/10.34005/alrisalah.v16i1.4221.

Mainuddin, Hermawansyah, Muhammad Irfan, Junaidin, and Muh Zulkifli. "Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Studies Courses: Opportunities and Limitations in Islamic Higher Education from Student Perspectives." *Al-Hayat: Journal of Islamic Education* 9, no. 3 (2025): 612–27. https://doi.org/10.35723/ajie.v9i3.234.

Mustaqim, Mustaqim, and Ridha Ahida. "Digital Revolution and the Shifting Paradigm of Knowledge: Islamic Scholars' Perspectives on Technology Ethics." *El-Rusyd* 10, no. 2 (2025): 247–55. https://doi.org/10.58485/elrusyd.v10i2.505.

Rahmani, Diah Ayu, Via Novelia Najmi, and Eva Dewi. "Reconstructing Islamic Epistemology: A Philosophical Analysis of Amin Abdullah's Integrative–Interconnected Paradigm." *Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Islam Al-Thariqah* 11, no. 1 (2026): 169–82. https://doi.org/10.25299/althariqah.2026.vol11(1).27798.

Rahmatullah, Asep, and Akhmad Fauzi Hamzah. "Model Bimbingan Konseling Dengan Pendekatan Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) Di Pesantren." *Muthmainnah: Jurnal Bimbingan Konseling Islam Dan Psikosufistik* 1, no. 1 (2025): 1–10. https://doi.org/10.38073/muthmainnah.v1i1.3498.

Safrizal, Muhammad, Stephen Baker, Josef Peterson, Zara Amaefule, and Khalid S. Hamad. "The Transformation of Quranic Studies in the Era of Artificial Intelligence: An Epistemological Shift from Classical Scientific Tradition to the Digital Ecosystem." *Al-Qarawiyyin: Jurnal Ilmu Ushuluddin* 2, no. 1 (2026): 39–58. https://doi.org/10.64691/d45aj033.

Suci, Cita, and Eva Dewi. "The Digital Era and The Knowledge Revolution: Perspectives From Islamic Epistemology and Islamic Religious Education." *Edusoshum : Journal of Islamic Education and Social Humanities* 6, no. 3 (2026): 1669–78. https://doi.org/10.52366/edusoshum.v6i3.481.

Sulfikar, Achmad, and Daisy Indira Yasmine. "Platformized Religious Authority: Rethinking Legitimacy in the Age of Social Media Influencers." *Epistemé: Jurnal Pengembangan Ilmu Keislaman* 20, no. 02 (2025): 141–71. https://doi.org/10.21274/epis.2025.20.02.141-171.

Susanti, Riri, Firgi Nurdiansyah, Charles Charles, and Yudi Gucandra. "Digitalizing the Quran and Hadith: Epistemological Reconstruction and Challenges of Religious Authority in the Digital Age." *El-Rusyd* 10, no. 2 (2025): 349–59. https://doi.org/10.58485/elrusyd.v10i2.485.

Sutisna, Eka, Habibulloh Muqarrobin, Utami Rizkiyah, Hariyanto, and Afriyani Mabruka Azzahro'. "Artificial Intelligence and Ethical Ijtihād in the Production of Islamic Knowledge." *Jurnal Lentera Insani*, December 6, 2025, 111–25. https://doi.org/10.65586/jli.v1i2.26.

Syafaq, Hammis, Masdar Hilmy, and Achmad Fageh. "Digital Islamic Studies in Indonesia: Ontological, Epistemological and Axiological Perspectives." *Afkar: Jurnal Akidah Dan Pemikiran Islam* 28, no. 1 (2026): 157–204. https://doi.org/10.22452/afkar.vol28no1.5.

Syarifuddin, Ahmad Ihsan. "From Sanad to Algorithms: The Transformation of Islamic Authority and the Reconstruction of Nusantara Islamic Epistemology in the Digital Age." *AL GHAZALI: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pemikiran Islam* 6, no. 2 (2026): 467–88. https://doi.org/10.69900/ag.v6i2.577.

Tobing, M. Alfarizi, Danny Abrianto, and Muhammad Yunan Harahap. "Reconstruction of Islamic Religious Education in the Artificial Intelligence Era: A Critical Review of Teacher Roles, Digital Ethics, and Epistemic Authority." *Proceedings of International Conference on Islamic Community Studies*, October 27, 2025, 7186–92.

Wahid, Soleh Hasan, and Syahid Abdulloh. "Digital Islamic Authority: A Revolutionary Shift or Mere Hype? A Systematic Review." *SN Social Sciences* 6, no. 2 (2026): 62. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-026-01328-5.

Whyte, Shaheen Amid. "Islamic Religious Authority in Cyberspace: A Qualitative Study of Muslim Religious Actors in Australia." *Religions* 13, no. 1 (2022). https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13010069.

Yusuf, Muhammad. "Reimagining Islamic Civilization in the Age of AI: Digital Humanities, Ethical Challenges, and Knowledge Production in the Muslim World." *Islamic Civilization and History* 2, no. 2 (2025): 145–69. https://doi.org/10.59784/n3vs2393.

Zahra, Shaker A., Wan Liu, and Steven Si. "How Digital Technology Promotes Entrepreneurship in Ecosystems." *Technovation* 119 (January 2023): 102457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2022.102457.